How a quadratic equation solves peg solitaire

Misha Lavrov

April 22, 2023 Kennesaw Math Competition Awards Ceremony



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.



... and you can jump pegs over other pegs to remove them.

The goal is to eliminate all pegs except one. We did it!

Conway's soldiers or **solitaire army** is a mathematical puzzle based on the rules of peg solitaire.

The setup is an infinite board with pegs everywhere **below a** certain line:



Conway's soldiers or **solitaire army** is a mathematical puzzle based on the rules of peg solitaire.

The setup is an infinite board with pegs everywhere **below a** certain line:



The goal: to get a peg as far above the line as possible.

How high can we go?



How high can we go?



How high can we go?



How high can we go? Row 2!



How high can we go? Row 2!



How high can we go? Row 2!

















Warm-up: how many jumps?

Theorem. We need at least 7 jumps to get to row 3.

Theorem. We need at least 7 jumps to get to row 3.

Proof. We can assign "point values" based on how close our pegs get to a target in row 3:



Theorem. We need at least 7 jumps to get to row 3.

Proof. We can assign "point values" based on how close our pegs get to a target in row 3:



Check: any jump replaces two pegs by one peg with **at most** the same point value!

Theorem. We need at least 7 jumps to get to row 3.

Proof. We can assign "point values" based on how close our pegs get to a target in row 3:



Check: any jump replaces two pegs by one peg with **at most** the same point value!

So we need to use pegs below the line with a total point value of at least 21. This takes at least 8 pegs: 5+3+3+3+2+2+2+1.

Idea: what if we pick a "magic constant" x and give our pegs value $\frac{1}{x^k}$ if they're k steps away from the target?

Idea: what if we pick a "magic constant" x and give our pegs value $\frac{1}{x^k}$ if they're k steps away from the target? Then we need:

$$\frac{1}{x^{k+2}} + \frac{1}{x^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x^k}$$

Idea: what if we pick a "magic constant" x and give our pegs value $\frac{1}{x^k}$ if they're k steps away from the target? Then we need:

$$\frac{1}{x^{k+2}} + \frac{1}{x^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x^k} \iff 1 + x = x^2$$

Idea: what if we pick a "magic constant" x and give our pegs value $\frac{1}{x^k}$ if they're k steps away from the target? Then we need:

$$\frac{1}{x^{k+2}} + \frac{1}{x^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x^k} \iff 1 + x = x^2 \iff x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$
Our point values on the previous slide work because 8 + 13 = 21, 5 + 8 = 13, 2 + 3 = 5, 1 + 2 = 3, and 1 + 1 = 2. This works, but is not very systematic.

Idea: what if we pick a "magic constant" x and give our pegs value $\frac{1}{x^k}$ if they're k steps away from the target? Then we need:

$$\frac{1}{x^{k+2}} + \frac{1}{x^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x^k} \iff 1 + x = x^2 \iff x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Picking $x = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is weird (negative point values) but $x = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ works!

Our point values on the previous slide work because 8 + 13 = 21, 5 + 8 = 13, 2 + 3 = 5, 1 + 2 = 3, and 1 + 1 = 2. This works, but is not very systematic.

Idea: what if we pick a "magic constant" x and give our pegs value $\frac{1}{x^k}$ if they're k steps away from the target? Then we need:

$$\frac{1}{x^{k+2}} + \frac{1}{x^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x^k} \iff 1 + x = x^2 \iff x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Picking $x = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is weird (negative point values) but $x = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ works!

The number $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\approx 1.618$ is called the **Golden ratio**. It shows up in many places in nature, art, and peg solitaire games.

Theorem. We can never get to row 5.

Theorem. We can never get to row 5.

Proof. Assign point values to pegs as on the previous slide—a peg k steps from the target is worth $\frac{1}{r^k}$:



Theorem. We can never get to row 5.

Proof. Assign point values to pegs as on the previous slide—a peg k steps from the target is worth $\frac{1}{r^k}$:



The total value of *all* the pegs we start with is

$$\frac{1}{x^5} + \frac{3}{x^6} + \frac{5}{x^7} + \frac{7}{x^8} + \frac{9}{x^9} + \cdots$$

Theorem. We can never get to row 5.

Proof. Assign point values to pegs as on the previous slide—a peg k steps from the target is worth $\frac{1}{r^k}$:



The total value of *all* the pegs we start with is

$$\frac{1}{x^5} + \frac{3}{x^6} + \frac{5}{x^7} + \frac{7}{x^8} + \frac{9}{x^9} + \dots = 1.$$

Theorem. We can never get to row 5.

Proof. Assign point values to pegs as on the previous slide—a peg k steps from the target is worth $\frac{1}{r^k}$:



The total value of *all* the pegs we start with is

$$\frac{1}{x^5} + \frac{3}{x^6} + \frac{5}{x^7} + \frac{7}{x^8} + \frac{9}{x^9} + \dots = 1.$$

So no *finite* number of pegs can reach the target!

Thomson's lamp paradox

Philosophical question.





At time t = 1, you turn it off.



At time t = 1, you turn it off. At time t = 1.5, you turn it on again.



At time t = 1, you turn it off. At time t = 1.5, you turn it on again. You continue this, halving the intervals each time. At time t = 2, is the light bulb on or off?



At time t = 1, you turn it off. At time t = 1.5, you turn it on again. You continue this, halving the intervals each time. At time t = 2, is the light bulb on or off?

Inspired by this, we can ask: can we get to Row 5 by doing infinitely many moves in finite time?



At time t = 1, you turn it off. At time t = 1.5, you turn it on again. You continue this, halving the intervals each time. At time t = 2, is the light bulb on or off?

Inspired by this, we can ask: can we get to Row 5 by doing infinitely many moves in finite time?

Negatively inspired by this, we will add a rule: each cell of our grid should only change state finitely many times.











































Halfway there!








Alan Lee's solution



Alan Lee's solution



Alan Lee's solution



After infinitely many steps, we have reached row 5!

Credits

- Solitaire army was invented by John Conway in 1961, who proved that row 5 cannot be reached in finitely many moves.
- Simon Tatham first formulated the rules for reaching row 5 in infinitely many moves.
- Simon Tatham and Gareth Taylor together found a solution with infinitely many moves.
- Alan Lee invented the diagonal whoosh, creating the simplified solution we saw today.

All I did was put together these slides, and make an animation of Alan's solution, which we can go watch at:

https://misha.fish/solitaire